1	IN THE UNTED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE DISTRI	CT OF MARYLAND	
3	JUAN PEREZ, individually and on behalf	*	
4	of all persons similarly situated Plaintiff(s)	*	
5	v.	* Case No.: 23 CV 2778	
6 7	INTEGRATED DESIGN BUILD, INC f/k/a D&R ELECTRIC, INC. ET AL	*	
8	Defendants	*	
	**************	***	
9	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT AND CROSSCLAIM AGAINST INTEGRATED DESIGN		
10	<u>BUILD, I</u>	NC.	
11	COMES NOW Defendants Aaron Electr	ric. Inc., Priority Power Inc. and Aaron L	
12	COMES NOW, Defendants, Aaron Electric, Inc., Priority Power Inc. and Aaron L		
13	Portillo ("Defendants"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby answer for themselve		
14	only the Class Action Complaint ("CAC") filed by Plaintiff, Juan Perez ("Plaintiff") as follows		
15	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
16	Answering unnumbered paragraph of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants cannot admit or		
17	deny the introductory paragraph of the CAC and to the extent an answer is required,		
18	Defendants deny the allegations in paragraph 1 of CAC.		
19	JURISDICTION A	AND VENUE	
20	1. Answering paragraph 1 of Plaintiff	's CAC, Plaintiff merely states jurisdictional	
21	allegations to which no response is required but to the	he extent a response is required, Defendants	
22	deny allegations of paragraph 1 of the CAC.		
2324	2. Answering paragraph 2 of Plain	tiff's CAC, Defendants admit that they	
25	conduct business in Maryland and is headquartered in	the State of Maryland. Except as expressly	
26	admitted, Defendants deny the remaining allegation	ons of paragraph 2 of the CAC.	
27	<u>PARTIES</u>		
28	3. Answering paragraph 3 of Plaintiff	f's CAC, Defendants admit that Plaintiff	

Juan Perez worked for Aaron Electric in Maryland. Defendants lack sufficient information regarding the remainder of the allegations in paragraph 3, and therefore deny those allegations.

- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 5 of the CAC.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 6 of the CAC.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants admit that Aaron Portillo is the owner of Aaron Electric and Priority Power. Except as expressly admitted, Defendants deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 3 of the CAC.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants deny the allegations.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants admit the allegations.
- 13. Answering paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants deny the allegations.

CLASS DEFINITIONS

14. Answering paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants cannot admit or

deny plaintiff's class definition because it requires no response but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 14 of the CAC.

- 15. Answering paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants cannot admit or deny plaintiff's class definition because it requires no response but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 15 of the CAC.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's CAC, Defendants cannot admit or deny plaintiff's class definition because it requires no response but to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 16 of the CAC.
- 17. Answering paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely identifies a group which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 18 of the CAC.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely identifies a group which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 18 of the CAC.
- 19. Answering paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely reserve the right redefine classed which require no response. Defendants reserve the right to object to the classes.

FACTS

- 20. Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 20 of the CAC.
- 21. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 21 of the CAC.
- 22. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 22 of the CAC.
- 23. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 23 of the CAC.
- 24. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 24 of the CAC.
- 25. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 25 of the CAC.
- 26. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 26 of the CAC.
- 27. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 27 of the CAC.

1	44.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 44 of the CAC.
2	45.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 45 of the CAC.
3	46.	Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 46 of the CAC.
4	47.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 47 of the CAC.
5	48.	Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 48 of the CAC.
7	49.	Defendants admit the allegations of paragraph 49 of the CAC.
8	50.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 50 of the CAC.
9	51.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 51 of the CAC.
10	52.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 52 of the CAC.
11 12	53.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 53 of the CAC.
13	54.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 54 of the CAC.
14	55.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 55 of the CAC.
15	56.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 56 of the CAC.
16	57.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 57 of the CAC.
17	58.	Answering paragraph 58 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely states legal
18 19		
20	conclusions which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 58 of the CAC.	
21		
22	59.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 59 of the CAC.
23	60.	Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in
24	paragraph 60	as phrased.
25	61.	Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 61 of the CAC.
26		COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS
27	62.	Answering paragraph 62 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely states legal
28		

conclusions which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 62 of the CAC.

- 63. Answering paragraph 63 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely states his desire and a legal conclusion which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 63 of the CAC.
- 64. Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 64 of the CAC.
- 65. Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 65 of the CAC.
- 66. Defendants lack sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 66 of the CAC.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 67. Answering paragraph 67 of Plaintiff's CAC, Plaintiff merely states legal conclusion which require no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 67 of the CAC.
 - 68. Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 68 of the CAC.
- 69. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 69 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 69 of the CAC.
- 70. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 70 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 70 of the CAC.
- 71. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 71 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 71 of the CAC.
 - 72. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 72 of the CAC. To

the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 72 of the CAC.

- 73. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 73 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 73 of the CAC.
- 74. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 74 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 74 of the CAC.
- 75. Defendants cannot admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 75 of the CAC. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations of paragraph 75 of the CAC.

COUNT I – UNPAID OVERTIME (FLSA)

- 76. Paragraph 76 is an incorporation statement to which no response is required to the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporates their responses to the preceding paragraphs.
 - 77. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 77 through 86 of the CAC.

COUNT II – UNPAID OVERTIME MWHL

- 78. Paragraph 87 is an incorporation statement to which no response is required to the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporates their responses to the preceding paragraphs.
 - 79. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 88 through 92 of the CAC.

COUNT III – UNPAID WAGES MWPCL

- 80. Paragraph 93 is an incorporation statement to which no response is required to the extent a response is required, Defendants incorporates their responses to the preceding paragraphs.
 - 81. Defendants deny the allegations in paragraphs 94 through 105 of the CAC.

PRAYER

82. Plaintiffs' Prayer requires no response. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to the requested relief, or any relief whatsoever.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Without assuming any burden that they would not otherwise bear, Defendants further

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

assert the separate and distinct affirmative defenses stated below to each and every cause of action alleged in the CAC, except where such affirmative defense states that it is specifically limited to one or more causes of action:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Failure to State a Claim

The CAC, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Defendants.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Unclean Hands

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Estoppel

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Waiver

Plaintiff and/or the putative class members relinquished and waived any right to any of the claims upon which Plaintiffs now seek relief.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Acquiescence – Consent

Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Plaintiff, and each member of the putative class, acquiesced or consented to the conduct about which they now complain.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Misrepresentation

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because in doing the things alleged in the CAC, Defendants acted in reliance on misrepresentations by Plaintiff and the putative class members, including but not limited to misrepresentations regarding hours worked.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Lack of Standing

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, is barred because

Plaintiffs lack standing to state the claims alleged in the Complaint and/or to assert the legal rights or interests of others.

EIGHT AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Non-Certifiable Class

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action alleged therein, does not state facts sufficient to certify a class. Therefore, this action is not properly brought as a class action.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Inadequacy of Class Representative

Plaintiff is not proper representatives of the class they purport to represent and, accordingly, this action is not properly brought as a class action.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Putative Members Not Similarly Situated

Plaintiff cannot maintain a representative or class action because the putative plaintiffs are not similarly situated.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Failure to Pay Not Willful

Assuming arguendo that Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs or putative class members all wages, Defendants cannot be held liable because the failure to pay was not willful.

TWELVETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants assert that Defendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc. f/k/a D&R Electric, Inc is liable for any unpaid wages based in its actions and inactions.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE Reasonableness and Good Faith

Defendants acted reasonably and in good faith at all times material herein, based on all relevant facts and circumstances.

FOURTEETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by the affirmative defenses of improper defendants, failure to join an indispensable party, breach of contract, accord and satisfaction, estoppel,

laches, statute of limitations, fraud, payment, release, waiver, violation of law and statute, and any other matter constituting an avoidance or affirmative defenses on legal or equitable grounds.

RIGHT TO AMEND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendants respectfully reserve the right to amend their Answer to assert additional affirmative defenses in the future and to supplement those asserted herein upon further investigation and discovery.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for judgment as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff be granted no relief in this action against Defendants.
- 2. That judgment be rendered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff on each and every cause of action alleged in the Complaint.
 - 3. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

<u>CROSS-CLAIMS DIRECTED TO DEFENDANT, INTEGRATED DESIGN BUILD, INC F/K/A D&R ELECTRIC</u>

- 1. Answering Defendants incorporate the allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint, without admission or adoption, as if set forth herein at length.
- 2. Answering Defendants incorporate their answer to the complaint as if duly set forth herein at length.
- 3. Integrated Design Build, Inc entered a subcontractor agreement with Aaron Electric, Inc on or about June 9, 2022, for electrical work at Yard 56 Mixed-Use.
- 4. Upon information, the subcontractor agreement required the submission of biweekly payment application for the payment of wages of the daily electrical workers on the site. The agreement also required the electrical workers to work overtime.
- 5. Upon information, Defendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc, consistently underpaid Aaron Electric, Inc for each payment application. Upon information, in May 2023,

Case 8:23-cv-02778-DKC Document 14 Filed 11/13/23 Page 11 of 12

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

Defendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc. withheld all payments to Defendant, Aaron Electric, Inc., despite the fact that workers performed services for the weeks payments were withheld.

- 6. If Plaintiff suffered unpaid wages and unpaid overtime wages as alleged, then codefendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc., is solely responsible to Plaintiff and/or the alleged class members.
- 7. If the answering Defendants should be held liable to Plaintiff as alleged, which answering Defendants deny, then Co-Defendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc., is liable to the answering Defendants, for contribution and/or indemnification, whether by virtue of law, contract or common law.

WHEREFORE, answering Defendants deny liability and avers that Cross-Defendant, Integrated Design Build, Inc. is liable to Plaintiff, and/or is liable to the answering defendants for contribution and/or indemnification.

Respectfully Submitted, THE IWEANOGES' FIRM, P.C.

By: <u>/s/CharlesCIweanoge/s/</u>
Charles C. Iweanoge

AIS# 9912150059 IWEANOGE LAW CENTER

1026 Monroe Street, NE Washington, D.C. 20017

Phone: (202) 347-7026 Fax: (202) 347-7108

Email: cci@iweanogesfirm.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of November 2023, copies of Defendants' Answer and Crossclaim against Integrated Design Build, Inc. was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System, and all attorneys of record would be served by ECF.

/s/CharlesCIweanoge/s/ Charles C. Iweanoge

,